

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Contemporary Perspective on Science, Technology and Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_6917A
Title of the Manuscript:	Deformation Monitoring of Dam Using GPS: Case Study Letsibogo Dam, Botswana
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here</i>)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. The author presents the monitoring of the dam over the study area. This study is very important as supports the economic and environmental benefits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	I understand that this book chapter is the extended version of the previous journal. However, I strongly suggest to modified a little bit on the title of the article. For example: <i>"Monitoring the dam deformation over Letsibogo Dam, Botswana using GPS Measurement"</i>	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	Thanks
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	I understand that this is the extended version of the previous journal. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I highly suggest that the author to modify several the sentences or some of the visualization of the results. For example, the author may remove table 1 and Table 2, as there is no significant meaning in providing the adjusted coordinate in the manuscript. Just directly showing the displacement/RMS is more than enough as that is the main finding that is required in this manuscript. Or if the table provides significant meaning to the finding of this manuscript, I highly suggest plotting the coordinate for better visualization. Kindly standardize the terms that you are using. X and Y or N and E, and height or vertical. Kindly standardize to avoid confusion. In Table 1, there are two horz RMS. What is the difference? As per mentioned in the manuscript there are vertical RMS? Where is the vertical RMS? 	Noted and effected Revision made
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references that provided by authors are related with the study. However, I noticed that the references are not to up-to-date. The most reconds is 2015, only one article. It will be great if the authors can provide 2 or 3 articles which are more up-to-date. Example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> https://doi.org/10.3390/s18113682 https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2020.1714755 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2912143. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Syukriah Binti Khamdan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia