

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Theory and Applications of Engineering Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3299G
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Analysis of Square Patch Antenna at 2.4GHz
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes because it describes the analysis of a square patch antenna which is much needed in the 2.4 GHz frequency. However, the descriptions in this manuscript is insufficient for a book chapter. It's too brief. More information/introduction should be included to state the importance of this study & analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The article is too brief. It will be better to improve it with more information.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No as the article's abstract consisted of 3 sentences only which is not fit for a book chapter. Please include more description of antennas with more analysis instead of just describing one antenna.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Averagely as the content is too brief.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	If this is a book chapter, the format or layout setting of the article should be in book chapter format. It looks like a manuscript/journal format with 2 columns. More comprehensive content should be included in all Sections;; Section 1: Introduction Why 2.4GHz? How about other frequencies? What's the problem statement, etc? Section 2: Theoretical consideration Provide some theory of fundamental on the need to study antennas, followed by equations. The equations should be written in "Equation Editor" or similar instead of using figure. All notations need to be described & use subscript format for related terms. Section 3: Design specification Is this a general specification? What's the criteria for this?	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, it's OK.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lee Lini
Department, University & Country	Multimedia University, Malaysia