

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>New Visions in Medicine and Medical Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_12117F
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Clinical Study of Vajigandhadi Taila Basti and Agnikarma in the Management of Sciatica
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes Requires structured abstract	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title mentioned is satisfactory.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Uniformity in spellings for properties of Ingredients of Vajigandhadi Taila were is not observed. Short forms like SLR, VAD, etc has to be avoided.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, satisfactory	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>Section 1: 1. Introduction should be elaborated. 1.1 - 2nd and 4th Aim & Objectives should be modified.</p> <p>Section 2 2.2 requires modifications. Table 1 – Group B – Agnisadhana needs clarification Quantity of Ingredients could be specified in 3.1 (contents of Vajigandhadi Taila) Kaala should be specified - At what time?</p> <p>Section 3 Table 2 needs to check for spelling mistakes. Table 3 needs clarification 3.3. Clarification on parameter for assessment is required. Table 6 – Data related to ESR is missing Contents of the chapter in between section 3 to 4 requires modifications.</p> <p>Section 4 Observation and results on x ray findings and reflexes are missing</p> <p>Section 5 Requires reasoning.</p> <p>Section 6 Objective 3 was not dealt with.</p> <p>Conclusion should be based on the aim and the objectives.</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Referencing order should be in a sequential order. Referencing in Aim & objective; Inclusion & Exclusion criteria are not advisable.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manjula K
Department, University & Country	RGUHS, India