

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>New Visions in Medicine and Medical Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_12117F
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Clinical Study of Vajigandhadi Taila Basti and Agnikarma in the Management of Sciatica
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, present clinical trial is really beneficial for clinical practice in the patients of scistica.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>Abstract part is really very compressive and well wxplained about the clinical condition and utility of the treatment module.</p> <p>Introduction part of the manuscript can be elaborated by including Ayurveda review of the disease,Basti procedure, Agnikarma procedure, information of nerve and associated structures responsible for the condition.</p> <p>Methodology part also well explained and acceptable but brief information of basti and agnikarmaprocedure(purva karma, pradhan karma, paschat karma) has been not mentioned.</p> <p>Objervation and result presented in good mannerwith the help of proper bardiagram , but proper name of the table and bar diagram has been not mentioned, information of the short forms has been not maintained.</p> <p>Statistical analysis should be reviewed by statistician for better result</p> <p>Discussion part is very lessin lines. Probable mode of action of the basti and agnikarma has been not mentioned.</p> <p>Conclusion part includes the observation of the study andnot concluded on the basis of treatment module which is used in the study.</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References in the manuscript are proper,but Latest reference regarding the study has been less in number.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Madhukar Lahange
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Ayurveda, Deemed University, India