

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3553G
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Identification of <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> in Isolates from Children with Pharyngitis, Gezira State, Sudan 2022
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	It is an important information for the cliniciand and laboratory physicians helping in early detection of Streptococcus in pharyngitis cases which can lead to severe complications	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes (In the Background, instead of 'post infection disease', 'post infection complications' would be more appropriate)	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Suitable	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	In the Introduction section, some information regarding conventional diagnosis of strcococcus vs molecular diagnosis of the bacteria could be discussed. In the type of swabs used: Mention regarding the type of swab i.e, wether Dacron, rayon or cotton swabs. Also, a mention of the number of swabs collected both for conventional and molecular diagnosis can be specified. Mention of any transport media used for transportation of these swabs would be beneficial. For antimicrobial susceptibility of Streptococcus , Blood agar should be used instead of MHA. Section 2.6.1 looks incomplete	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References are proper	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parul Punia
Department, University & Country	PGIMS, India