

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Advancement and New Understanding in Medical Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_9007A
Title of the Manuscript:	Celebrating UNAM-Oshakati Campus health day/world health day: a community service: professional and personal life experiences
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The introduction is well documented by making a comparison between the opinions of international experts, prevalence and factors associated with the current situation about community service, in Oshakati Campus. The authors of the paper make a good quality distribution of all research variables, making a very good theoretic mix about the relationship between health information technology and international current situation in comparison with local situation. The results attest that the quality of the research reflects those mentioned in the introduction and methodology. They provide consistent work in the category of defined value for research and related sciences, but provide valuable information for professionals and researchers. The conclusion and discussion provides valuable information on the established prevalence situation and the factors associated with the current situation of the recurrent situation of population from Oshakati Campus, combining information from the international literature with the field situation in the current time.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions		
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions		
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.		
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.		

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefan Toma
Department, University & Country	Maher University, India