

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Advanced Concepts in Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_2659G
Title of the Manuscript:	Hyperthermia: Clinical Applications and Theoretical Models
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, but 1- The authors should explain the importance of the work in detail in order to attract thereadership of this book. 2- The authors should try to give advantageous of using of the prepared materials compared tothe commercial and/or others in literature in terms of cost, efficiency, figures of merit etc. 3- The authors should highlight Hypothesis, Experiments and Findings (some of the numericalvalues) . The preferred format for the Abstract should be used in order to attract the readership ofthis book.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the English level of the manuscript is acceptable.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The authors should give an explanation about the reusability and durability of the preparedmaterials and compare their results with other reported materials in terms of reusability anddurability performances. The authors should try to explain the mechanism for the enhanced performance by using theprepared materials in order to attract the readership of this book.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references should be updated with the following related ones: RSC advances 12 (6), 3593-3601 ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 13 (34), 40731-40741 Langmuir 37 (29), 8847-8854	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Esmail Shalan
Department, University & Country	Central Metallurgical R & D institute, Egypt