

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1190</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Updated Species List of Viridans Streptococci causing Infective Endocarditis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book Chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, This is important for scientific community as this is grave problem.Awareness through this book chapter will alert clinicians as well as readers to measure gravity of this disease by streptococci.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	A title should be as An update on Species of Viridans Streptococci causing Infective Endocarditis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Abstract should have more representation on Species of Viridans Streptococci causing Infective Endocarditis, rather than on general on Endocarditis. There should be structured or structured like abstract.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The manuscript has pretty rough English .Need to give simplified sentences. There are sentences too long at many places. For livings, there will be this, not it	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>In introduction, there should be more share for streptococci induced endocarditis, frequency, clinical presentation and management.</p> <p>Not clear whether authors are writing in general on endocarditis or specifically on streptococci by streptococci</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Reference 9, 10 and 16 needs relook for completeness.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Imtiaz Wani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>