

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1190
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Updated Species List of Viridans Streptococci causing Infective Endocarditis
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript explains about the species of streptococci and features of infective endocarditis which is an important topic currently, so under introduction if the authors explain about the incidence and frequency with which it occurs, it would help the readers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes the abstract is fine except for few grammar corrections	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No, the article needs grammar correction, such that the sentences are written in past tense, kindly go through any grammar correction app to correct the sentences to convey appropriate meaning for example, the sentence "The antigenically and biochemically diverse group of organisms causing oral and gastrointestinal infections are grouped into viridians streptococci" did not convey an appropriate meaning.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Introduction: Incidence of bacterial endocarditis if added would add value to the manuscript. Infective endocarditis: Clinical presentation looks fine. Causative organisms: is explained in the table and looks fine Pathogenesis: A flow chart or a pictorial representation of pathogenesis would enhance the face of the the manuscript. Laboratory diagnosis: a Flow chart on diagnostic workup if added would give a clear picture of laboratory diagnosis. A small note of treatment and prognosis would be a good addition to the manuscript	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	A few recent articles like 1. "Species designation of streptococci causing infective endocarditis in patients with mitral valve prolapse. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2023.03.043 " 2. "Current Views on Infective Endocarditis: Changing Epidemiology, Improving Diagnostic Tools and Centering the Patient for Up-to-Date Management. doi: 10.3390/life13020377 " Can be referred.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reshma Venugopal
Department, University & Country	Krishnadevaraya College of Dental Sciences, India