

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1400</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beta-Lactam Resistance and Phenotypic Detection of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase in Entero bacteriaceae Isolated from Community-Acquired Urinary Tract Infections</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, this manuscript provides valuable insights into the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in urinary tract infections, specifically focusing on Beta-Lactamase-producing bacteria. Understanding the resistance patterns and mechanisms in these pathogens is crucial for guiding treatment strategies, antibiotic stewardship practices, and future research efforts in combating urinary tract infections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus and findings of the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	To improve clarity and readability, the abstract should include more clear details. The methodology section could specifically include the sample size, while the conclusion could address the potential implications of the findings.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality of the article appears suitable for scholarly communication. Here are few suggestions: Address run-on sentences by breaking them into shorter, clear senetnces.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript appears to have appropriately structured sections that cover essential aspects of the research conducted on Beta-Lactam Resistance and Phenotypic Detection of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase in Enterobacteriaceae Isolated from community-Acquired Urinary Tract Infections.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	In this article, some references are old. Try to use the most recent studies.	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Israt Jahan
Department, University & Country	University of Central Florida, Bangladesh