

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1497</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impediments to Effective Healthcare Delivery among Rural Women in Cross River State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, particularly those focused on public health and healthcare access in developing countries.  Reasons: It focuses on a vulnerable population, shedding light on the specific challenges faced by rural women in accessing healthcare. This is a population often overlooked in healthcare improvement efforts. Practical solutions that could be implemented to improve healthcare access for rural women in Cross River State and potentially similar contexts were provided as actionable insights.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is accurate and informative. I will suggest refining it to "Social Determinants and Choices: Understanding Healthcare Utilization Among Rural Women in Cross River State, Nigeria" because this tailors it more to highlighting the social dynamics. Please note that my suggestion is on a preference basis.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract is good.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, it is suitable.  It can be improved in terms of sentence variation and transitions if proofread by an expert.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Overall Observations:It is well-organized and logically structured with each section building upon the previous one, and providing a comprehensive analysis of the topic.The focus on Cross River State adds a specific context to the broader issue of rural healthcare disparities.  Minor improvements to consider: Conciseness: Consider condensing (compressing) the introduction and conclusion while maintaining the core message. I don't believe the narration has to be that long to drive home the points.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references are proper and adequate.	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oluwafisayo Azeez Ayodeji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>