

**[BPI Review Form 070520](#)**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Recent Updates in Disease and Health Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1581</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A One-Year Follow up Treatment of an 8-Year Old Child with Thumb Sucking HABIT Using a New Habit Breaking Appliance: A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

**To ensure a high-quality review, reviewers are rated on a 5-point scale and reviewers with an average of 3 or more points will be included in the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame. Please see details of the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame here:**

One point is assigned for each of the following:

1. The reviewer responded to the review invitation within the requested time frame.
2. The reviewer reviewed the manuscript within the requested time frame.
3. The review addressed all aspects that were asked for in the reviewer guidelines.
4. The reviewer gave suggestions to improve the revised version
5. The review was written in a friendly and encouraging way.

**Special note:**

A research paper which has already been published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form. This is acceptable in the academic world.

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**Asian Journal of Dental Sciences, Volume 7, Issue 1, Page 130-137, 2024**

**Available: <https://journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/article/view/187>**

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.  The habit breaking appliances that are presently used, have been encountered with numerous impediments along with low evidence at ameliorating sucking cessation in children. The appliance mentioned in this book chapter makes use of barrier-repulsive force between the palate and the thumb which aids in cessation of the habit, with significant improvement in the intraoral, extraoral, soft and hard tissue profiles, besides better patient acceptance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications with minor corrections as highlighted in the manuscript that is been mailed as an attachment along with the review form to the Editor.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The abstract is successful in providing a gist of the topic. Introduction is pertinent with adequate history regarding the shortcomings of the appliances currently in use. Case presentation with details regarding appliance fabrication and the preceding management are illustrated efficiently. Followup with clinical and radiographic images are appropriate with high picture quality. Discussion is concised and relevant to the topic. The book chapter is concluded with suitable data regarding the advantages pertaining to positive results.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, the references in the manuscript are proper, sufficient with majority being recent articles.	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanghamitra J</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Venkateshwaraa Dental College, India</b>