

## **BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1590
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cost Effective Transmitter and Receiver for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
Type of the Article	Book chapter

### **PART 1:**ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreedwith the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlightthat part in the manuscript. Authors must writehis/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientificcommunity? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Abstract needs to provide more information on the aim of the paper and critical observations of the study.	
Do youthink the English quality of the article issuitable for scholarly communications?  If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Abstract requires grammatical proofreading. There are a lot of grammatical errors throughout the article.	
Pleaseprovideyourcommentsregarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Section / Chapter numbers need to be provided. Also, since it's a book chapter the information provided is not sufficient for all sections.	
Do youthinkthat the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?  If you have any suggestions, please write here.	<ul> <li>Reference numbers mentioned in the article are not in order. For example, 5,3,1,2 etc.</li> <li>As a book chapter, the number of words need to be more I feel. (I don't know the word count requirement for this book chapter).</li> <li>Most of the images look like they are taken from some sources. It needs to be cited.</li> <li>In the experimental results section, it is mentioned as in this conference paper.</li> <li>In the conclusion, it should be clearly mentioned as to what are the problems and how it is solved.</li> <li>Experimental results need to be more detailed with comparative results.</li> <li>More recent papers need to be referred to and cited.</li> </ul>	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)



# BPI Review Form 070520 PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Canute Sherwin
Department, University & Country	Atria University, India

Created by: EA Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Checked by: ME