

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1294</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Water Quality and Efficiency to Treat Water Pollution Problem in Two Types of Supply Water Distribution System: Loop and, Tree in Iraq: By Using Water GEMS Software</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	<b>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community because it investigates a critical aspect of water infrastructure: distribution system and water quality.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	<b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable and informative.</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	<b>Yes</b>	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	<b>Yes</b>	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Title:</b> The current title is informative. You can consider an alternative title like "Evaluation of Loop and Tree Distribution Systems on Water Quality in Iraqi Supply Networks"</li> <li>• <b>Abstract:</b> Briefly mention the limitations of the study and the discrepancy between lab and modeling results.</li> <li>• <b>Figures:</b> Add captions explaining the figures and the x and y-axis labels.</li> <li>• <b>Discussion:</b> Discuss the limitations of the study (e.g., sample size, generalizability) and elaborate on the reasons behind the discrepancy between lab results and modeling outcomes.</li> <li>• <b>Conclusion:</b> Emphasize the practical implications of the research.</li> </ul>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	<b>The references appear to be sufficient and proper up to 2021. If its possible current references shouldbe cited.</b>	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Diepiriye Chenaboso Okujagu
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria