

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1294
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Water Quality and Efficiency to Treat Water Pollution Problem in Two Types of Supply Water Distribution System: Loop and, Tree in Iraq: By Using Water GEMS Software
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, of course.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	No is it should be Rewrite there is dungleing modifiers and too long and did not clearly related with the objectives.eg Two types and again Tree and loop use either the name or the number.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	It says this studies ?and again Review please be concistatnt, abstract have to be one paragraph and the language needs to be improved.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The manuscpite needs crtital language edition. My suggestion is first better to be Revised by the seriou water Resource specialist and then better to send the language editor proffesionals.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The different part have to be Rearanged For example the 3D must show clearly the differetnt water supply distribution system, and the sample taken must be indicated in the design where each water quality parameter is taken for each distribution types too in addition the result of each parameter have to be presented at each sub title and final the combined water quality index can be puted so it needs critical rearangment.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recentand sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes it is uptodate but better to be Rearranging using reference manegerssoftwares.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nega Kesete
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia