

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Medical Research and Its Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1448
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical therapy for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the study is important. However, the study of Chou and Verret, Grapin who received the PA were on medication were the improvement due to the medication or due to the PA? This is a limitation because the medication will improve concentration and therefor they will perform better in the PA domain under exercise therapy. The other studies also don't state how many children and gender as well as age. Boys tend to have higher incidence than girls.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	No, because the one aspect is about exercise therapy, the second part on sensory integration is nothing with exercise but other parts of functioning of the child that can be improve. The current title gives the impression that all the interventions up for discussion will be physical activities for ADHD which is not the case. Currently it is more various therapies for ADHD. But the author should come up with a more catchy title than various therapies for ADHD. As an article was this a scoping review?	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Please see suggestions in the article	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The introduction has a lot of statements without references. The discussion on the various therapies are done on children with ADHD and as stated earlier it is not mentioned if the children were on medication or not. Thus, were the therapies the result of the improvement or the assistance of the medication in order to concentrate better the influence on the results. Also limited on age, gender, amount of children etc. Summary is very fague and does not highlight the major findings.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	As stated the statements made without references is a limitation. The author should address this to make the chapter more scientific. See the article for suggestions. Also 19 of the 50 odd references are older than 10 years.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monique de Milander
Department, University & Country	University of the Free State, South Africa