

## **BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	Contemporary Research in Business, Management and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1545
Title of the Manuscript:	NIGERIA DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS' CREDIT ADMINISTRATION AND THE INCIDENCE OF BAD LOANS: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION
Type of the Article	Book chapter

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)



### **BPI Review Form 070520**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	In general, manuscript publication is an important stage in the scientific method because it allows researchers to publish their findings and get feedback from peers in the same field. It is an essential tool for scientists to communicate their discoveries, knowledge, and ideas to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Include a thought-provoking quote in the header or chapter title. A title should be clear, informative, and draw the reader in. The length of a suitable title depends on whether the target journal prefers an informative or indicative title. A long title could divert the reader's attention to something else. The researcher chose a title for this review that is a little wordy but appropriate for the subject.  "Analysis of Operating Performance of Nigeria Banks - An empirical Investigation"  "Non-performing Assets of Nigeria Banks - An empirical Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The first part of a scientific paper that researchers come across is the abstract. The entire paper is typically summarized in one paragraph. The primary components of the research article that entice readers and could also affect the article's acceptance. The abstract outlines the study's goals, procedures, major discoveries, and conclusions. An effective abstract aims to summarize research findings without delving too far into specifics. The researcher has provided 247 words in total, including all pertinent information, in this review.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications?  If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The researcher has utilized sufficient English in their academic writing	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	I should start by saying that this paper is far too long. This study is organized into several sections (RAS) and elements (RAE). The researcher has carefully and elaborately focused. A technical document that describes a significant experimental, theoretical, or observational extension of current knowledge, or advances in the practical application of well-established principles, is called a scientific or research article or paper. The researcher has provided an accurate description of the research, setup, and methodology. The validity of the research is ultimately determined by the information presented in this article. Reviewers are able to evaluate the research's scientific foundation and results' rationale in this way. The researcher carefully considered and clearly presented the main findings of the study. The researcher used visual elements to highlight the key findings from the results.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?  If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references are not from recent years, with a few notable exceptions. The references are found under "Criteria." This review followed IMRD to the letter. Few references, most of which fall under certain restrictions. Related references and in-text citations are excellent. Methodology was well-focused.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)



### **BPI Review Form 070520**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	S. S. Jeyaraj
Department, University & Country	Gxun Business School, Sino-British College, Minzu University, China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)