

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1619
Title of the Manuscript:	CDX2 as a prognostic marker for colorectal adenocarcinoma in Ugandan patients
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the association between CDX2 expression and clinicopathological features in colorectal adenocarcinoma among Ugandan patients. This study contributes valuable insights into biomarker-guided prognosis and personalized treatment strategies, particularly in regions experiencing rising cancer incidence and limited research. Understanding CDX2's role in cancer progression could potentially impact clinical decision-making and improve outcomes for affected populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes the article title is suitable but I have suggest the title below "Assessment of CDX2 Expression as a Prognostic marker in Colorectal Adenocarcinoma Patients from Uganda"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Overall, the abstract effectively communicates the study's objectives, methods, findings, and implications, making it comprehensive and suitable for a scientific publication.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The abstract and content are clear, concise, and effectively convey the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions in a manner that meets academic standards.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>The comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: The abstract effectively summarizes the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions related to CDX2 as a prognostic marker in colorectal adenocarcinoma among Ugandan patients. It provides a clear overview suitable for academic communication. 2. Introduction: The introduction appropriately contextualizes the study within the global and local context of colorectal cancer incidence, introduces the relevance of CDX2 as a biomarker, and outlines the study's objectives clearly. 3. Methodology: The methodology section outlines the study period, sample size, immunohistochemistry methods, and data sources comprehensively. It provides sufficient detail for replication and understanding of the study's approach. 4. Results: The results section summarizes key findings related to CDX2 expression, clinicopathological features, and statistical analyses. It presents data effectively with relevant statistical measures where applicable. 5. Discussion and Conclusions: The discussion interprets the results in the context of existing literature, highlights the clinical implications of CDX2 expression loss, and suggests directions for future research. The conclusions logically follow from the study's findings and provide insights into potential clinical applications. <p>Overall, the manuscript appears to be structured appropriately for scholarly communication, covering essential sections with clarity and relevance to the study of CDX2 in colorectal adenocarcinoma among Ugandan patients.</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, the references in the manuscript are proper, recent, and sufficient. They accurately support the study's background, methods, and findings with relevant and up-to-date literature in the field of colorectal cancer and CDX2 biomarker research. The citations appear to reflect recent advancements and foundational studies necessary for scholarly communications.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja K
Department, University & Country	Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies, India