

[BPIReviewForm070520](#)

BookName:	PharmaceuticalResearch-RecentAdvancesandTrends
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_1309
TitleoftheManuscript:	High-performanceliquidchromatographyanalysis ofgallicacidandkaempferolinchloroformandethanolextractof <i>Cassiahirsuta</i> seeds
Typeofthe Article	BookChapter

BPIReviewForm070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Isthe manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. It has enough content and provide knowledge for the betterment of science in these areas. It is okay in form and structure and provides original knowledge to the scientific community.	
Isthe title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes	
Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No. It lacks a brief introduction of the areas of study and the rationale of the study. Also, corrections are required.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Most of the areas are appropriate with corrections as given in tracked changes in the manuscript. A few highlights: 1. There is no gap given in the introduction 2. Statistical methods of data analysis are not given in the methods section. 3. The data is not analyzed using statistical tools in the results section. 4. The discussions given is not explicit and specific. It also discusses data, which is not part of the findings. 5. The conclusion is too general and requires to be redone and confined to those conclusions on which the results provides.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes But, most of the references are more than five years old. The author should add at least five of current works done related to the area of study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aloys Mosima Osano
Department, University & Country	Maasai Mara University, Kenya