

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Recent Updates in Disease and Health Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1445
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The effectiveness of a standardized rose hip powder, containing seeds and shells of Rosa canina, on cell longevity, skin wrinkles, moisture, and elasticity</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript important for the scientific community Longevity of cell membranes is essential to avoid changes related to aging as well as the antioxidative and anti-inflammatory property of the rose hip powder, containing seeds and shells of Rosa canina can protect the skin.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title of the article suitable I have not alternative Title in my mind	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, I think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications as well as the article written in standard English	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p><b>The abstract of the article</b> comprehensive</p> <p><b>List of abbreviations:</b> Please include a list of abbreviations used in the text as part of the text following the abstract.</p> <p><b>The introduction</b> including detail on the study rationale and specific objectives.</p> <p><b>Methods:</b> Page 2, 1<sup>st</sup> column: Study design and <b>patients:</b> I suggest changed to: Study design and <b>subjects.</b></p> <p>Page 2, 2nd column: Methods (Repetition): need to omit.</p> <p><b>The discussion</b> of the article deeply interpret the key findings and compare to other studies.</p> <p><b>The conclusions</b> strengthened.</p> <p><b>Limitations section.</b> Limitations are clearly mentioned.</p> <p>Overall, this study provides important contemporary data on the effectiveness of a standardized rose hip powder, containing seeds and shells of Rosa canina, on cell longevity, skin wrinkles, moisture, and elasticity.</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes, think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raafat Abdel Moneim Hassanein</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assiut University, Egypt</b>