

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research - New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1656
Title of the Manuscript:	Targeted therapy for CML patients on Imatinib: Role of novel variants of Human Organic Cationic Transporter 1 (hOCT1)
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, As we know that tyrosine kinase inhibitors are most important drugs for treating Chronic MyloidLeukemia and resistance or relapse occur in these drugs can adversely affect the treatment of CML Patients. So study on specific targets,molecules and transporters will help the researcher to overcome the resistance, treatment failure and relapse in the Patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, But we think this title is better if the author think so "Role of novel variants of Human Organic Cationic Transporter 1(hOCT1) in patients of Chronic MyloidLeukemiareceivingImatinib as a targeted therapy".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	All the sections are okay. But Some suggestion for Author----- 1. CML incidence mentioned but whether it is world wide or for a particle country or region 2. Sokal and EUTOS scores are prognostic scores..... this para required reference. 3. Imatinib,..... inhibits tyrosine kinase,..... considered the gold standard for treating chronic phase CML.....this para also required reference.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	1.No references are not in seial order as in manuscript numbering are- 1,2,4,3,5,14,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13. As the references no. is decided by author so I thik it is in serial order. Also follow [] or () for writing reference no. 2. References are not as per the standeredformate for referencing in any journal. Please correct it.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Rani Durgavati Medical College, India