

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2602
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultrasound-Assisted Synthesis of Substituted 1,4-Dihydropyridines in Aqueous Medium Using Phase Transfer Catalysts
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title “Ultrasound-Assisted Synthesis of Substituted 1,4-Dihydropyridines in Aqueous Medium Using Phase Transfer Catalysts” under the Book titled “Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends” is suitable and it is important to study about the various PTC available for the synthesis of substituted 1,4-Dihydropyridines in Aqueous Medium by different methods	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is suitable for the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The start of the abstract itself is not proper. The whole abstract has to be modified and explained specific to the point.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. The abstract has to be rewritten 2. The introduction is very brief 3. Mention of figures and schemes in the text is missing. 4. Comparison of the present work with other reported literature in terms of different methods and PTC is very important	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The overall work is scientifically good and technically sound. However, the above said corrections have to be done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Recent references are not added. More references could be added if comparison studies are made.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language style/quality and format have to be changed.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the work is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Judith Vijaya
Department, University & Country	Loyola College, India