

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2002
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THERMAL PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF A LINEAR FRESNEL SOLAR THERMAL COLLECTOR SYSTEM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>Authors are advised to see the comments given below and carefully revised the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Ensure that each section flows logically to the next, maintaining a clear narrative throughout the manuscript.</li><li>2. Add a summary table to illustrate the key findings and major points from different studies reviewed. This can provide better readability and clarity for readers.</li><li>3. In the end of introduction section, Kindly state objective and scope of review in more detail manner. It is advisable to improve the quality of article so that reader can get the glimpse of what to expect in the following sections.</li><li>4. Clearly outline specific recommendations for future research, based on the gaps and limitations identified in the review. Make a separate section after conclusion.</li><li>5. When discussing the thermal performance and heat loss mechanisms, provide include mathematical formulations or models where possible.</li><li>6. Ensure consistent use of terms such as "linear Fresnel solar collector" throughout the manuscript.</li><li>7. Check the typographical errors and correct them.</li><li>8. Break the sentences into shorter length and make clearer statements to improve the readability of complex sentences</li><li>9. Include references to recent studies to demonstrate the current relevance of the research topic. As I can see in the reference list that very few latest research is available. Literature section should be improved by adding more recent papers.</li></ol> <p>Like</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtsust.2024.100884">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtsust.2024.100884</a>	
	<a href="https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2023.29488">https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2023.29488</a>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Varun Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Madan Mohan Malaviya University of Technology, India