

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Disease and Health Research - New Insights</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2196
Title of the Manuscript:	Activation of mucosal immunity and novel prophylactic and therapeutic strategy in combating COVID-19
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors describe about the advantages of nasal intranasal mucosal vaccine over parental route in the prevention of COVID 19 infection. They describe at length the immunological responses related covid 19 infection with a focus on mucosal sites. They also describe the challenges that faces the development of such a strategy in the prevention of covid 19 infection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The discussion on immunological response is exhaustive and the back ground to the necessity of developing a nasal mucosal vaccine is discussed well. There is a focus on components of such a vaccine. A description of mcosal surfaces other than nasal mucosa is included.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Made multiple suggestions regarding the language is made which I define as minor corrections. I have used track changes to make these suggestions which is included in the enclosed document. There are 3 or 4 major comments are also included in the document using track changes. They are highlighted and easy to follow.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thyyar M Ravindranath</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United States</b>