

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2236
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare occurrence: A case report on alobar holoprosencephaly with cyclopia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the medical community to be aware of such diagnosis. Although rare but it carries significant mortality and morbidity risk to parents. Otherwise the manuscript is robust and I would not change much from it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. It correct references and has explained the condition appropriately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes The only revision I would suggest is that during the case report, there is no specific reason to mention heart rate and blood pressure readings in the manuscript. A simple sentence that the patient's vitals were stable would be sufficient	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nachiket Joshi
Department, University & Country	Worthing Hospital, UK