

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2325
Title of the Manuscript:	Kamal Transform: Some Properties and Integrable Boehmians
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it introduces the Kamal transform, a novel mathematical tool that expands the existing methods for solving differential equations, particularly when initial conditions are not specified.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	An alternative of the title could be: "Exploring the Kamal Transform: Properties, Applications, and Extensions to Integrable Boehmians"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a clear overview of the key topics, including the introduction of the Kamal transform, its properties, and its extension to Integrable Boehmians. However, it could be made more comprehensive by briefly mentioning the practical applications of the Kamal transform, as this would highlight its relevance to real-world problems. Additionally, summarizing the main findings or conclusions could give readers a better sense of the paper's contributions. No points appear unnecessary, but adding these elements would improve the abstract's effectiveness.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript, while generally logical, could be improved by better aligning the theoretical content with practical applications. The paper tends to focus heavily on abstract concepts without clearly connecting them to real-world examples, which might make it challenging for readers to see the practical relevance of the research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, some of the proofs and derivations could benefit from additional clarity, as they may be difficult for readers to follow without a deep background in the subject. The paper also lacks practical examples to verify the theoretical results, which would help to further validate the scientific correctness of the work in real-world applications. These elements could be improved to strengthen the manuscript's overall rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient, but they may not be entirely up-to-date.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the language is appropriate, but minor revisions could enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iqbal M. Batiha
Department, University & Country	Al Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Jordan