

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2327
Title of the Manuscript:	Holographic Display of Digital Light Field
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Review on Holographic Display of Digital Light Field To proceed with the acceptance/approval of the paper, kindly ensure that the researcher/s corrects the following errors: 1. The phrase ‘a brief description in detail’ is a bit contradictory. ‘brief’ suggests shortness/brevity, while ‘in detail’ implies diligence/thoroughness. 2. 2.1 – ‘who was interesting’ – can be written as ‘who was interested’ 3. There are a few typo errors; kindly correct them. Eg: 3. Spectrum – typographical error 4. There are spacing errors throughout the paper. Please review and correct these inconsistencies to ensure uniform formatting. Examples: ‘Flatpanel- flat panel’, Lasers(1961-1990)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		

Review Form2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Bindhu
Department, University & Country	India