

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2332
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance in Children and Adolescents with Chronic Rhinosinusitis in South Indian Population
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) in children and adolescents, specifically in the South Indian population. It provides valuable insights into the microbial etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns, which are essential for developing effective treatment protocols. This study highlights the need for region-specific data to better understand CRS management, thus contributing to the global effort to combat antibiotic resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Microbial Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance in Children and Adolescents with Chronic Rhinosinusitis in South Indian Population," accurately reflects the study's content and objectives. It succinctly captures the key aspects of the research, making it suitable for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the study, including the objective, methodology, and key findings. However, it could be enhanced by including a brief mention of the study's implications for clinical practice, particularly in terms of guiding antibiotic use in CRS treatment. This would emphasize the study's relevance to healthcare professionals.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are well-organized and appropriate for the subject matter. The division into sections such as introduction, methodology, results, and discussion allows for a clear and logical presentation of the study's findings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It employs established microbiological methods for identifying pathogens and assessing antibiotic resistance. The statistical analysis is appropriately conducted, and the findings are consistent with existing literature, thus reinforcing the study's credibility. The data presented is critical for informing clinical decisions and addressing the growing concern of antibiotic resistance in CRS.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are both sufficient and recent, covering a broad spectrum of relevant studies that support the manuscript's findings. No additional references are necessary at this time.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the manuscript is clear and appropriate for scholarly communication. It is concise, well-structured, and free of major grammatical errors, making it accessible to an academic audience.	
Optional/Generalcomments	In my opinion, it is a scientifically robust and well-researched study that offers valuable insights into the microbial etiology and resistance patterns of a particular pediatric population. The study's results are highly pertinent to clinical practice, particularly in the context of guiding the use of antibiotics in the treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis, a critical issue in light of the increasing concern regarding antibiotic resistance. The manuscript is well-organized, the data is thoroughly analyzed, and the methodology is solid. The language is straightforward and concise. I am of the opinion that this article is highly recommended for publication, as it is of great interest to the scientific and medical communities and makes a substantial contribution to the field. It is a well-conducted study with significant contributions to the field	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Rakibul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Cambridge University Hospitals, United Kingdom