

Review Form2

Book Name:	The Foundations of Mastering Design Thinking and Research Methods Towards Sustainable Development
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2418
Title of the Manuscript:	The Foundations of Mastering Design Thinking and Research Methods Towards Sustainable Development
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents a comprehensive presentation of ideas that support how Design thinking and planning are interrelated. Related studies are presented logically to facilitate a better understanding of the reader. Most of all, the book opens windows to more studies on how to address issues associated with the changes of time.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES, the abstract presented an overview of the foundation of mastering design thinking and research methods.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is supported by other studies and presented in a logical way to be understood easily by readers. The issues presented in the book were supported by concrete example of studies that presents the relationship between design thinking and research method.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES, very sufficient references were observed to support the discussions presented by the author	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES, it is easily understood by the readers.	
Optional/Generalcomments	The book is engaging and very informative!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mae Amalia B. Pilarta
Department, University & Country	San Carlos College, Philippines