

ReviewForm2

BookName:	TheFoundationsofMasteringDesignThinkingandResearchMethodsTowardsSustainableDevelopment
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2418
TitleoftheManuscript:	TheFoundationsofMasteringDesignThinkingandResearchMethodsTowardsSustainableDevelopment
TypeoftheArticle	CompleteBook

PART1:ReviewComments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whyd o youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript? Aminimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe it is very important for the scientific community, and I like how it is structured, presenting in a professionalwaydifferentissuesandapproaches, veryimportanttopicsnowadays,butafterreadingallthe chapters, you have the idea of a whole, a compacted and very keen analysis with important outputs.	
Isthethetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes,itissuitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheadition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes,itisaverycomprehensiveabstract, includingveryclearlywhatthebookwillpresentand identifying also the main topics presented by chapters.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	Yes,theyareallappropriateandbelongingappropriatelytoeachchapter.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnicall y	Ithinktheresearchmaybeclearly observed,allthechapterspresentverykeenresearch,observations, results and methods, one may identify for each part/chapter, the objectives and how they are presented, appropriatelanguage,appropriateandkeenanalysispointedoutalsothroughprofessionalgraphsand y	

ReviewForm2

sound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired for this part.	figures.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - MinorREVISIONcomments	Yes,theyareallgood,oneaspectthatmightbeimproved,inmyopinion,wouldbetoincludealsorelevant references from the last 2 years, especially that there are a lot of good references within this area, or treating closely related topics.	
	Yes,itisverysuitable.	
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? Optional/Generalcomments	Irecommendspublication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raul Pascalau
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences “ King Mihai I” From Timisoara, Romania