

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2458
Title of the Manuscript:	Applications of Body Imaging Techniques in Clinical Practice and Biomedical Research: A Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information contained in this manuscript is important for the scientific community because it explains in detail the use of imaging modalities such as ultrasound, Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and computed tomography and ways to improve the usage. It also shows the application of these imaging modalities in diagnosing diseases and disorders	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information contained in this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it explains in detail the use of imaging modalities such as ultrasound, Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and computed tomography and ways to improve the usage.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	1. The references are sufficient, but not recent. Citing articles from as far back as 1990 (Mazess RB, Barden HS, Bisek JP, Hanson J. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for total-body and regional bone-mineral and soft-tissue composition. Am J Clin Nutr 1990; 51: 1106-12.) is the really the best. The reason is that this knowledge can be considered outdated, as there is more recent knowledge on the subject matter.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is good, simple, and comprehensible for effective communication to a large audience. I would like to suggest to the author(s) to make use of AI tools like Grammarly, to help with simple grammatical, spelling, and punctuation marks error.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikalo David Oseghale
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria