

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2458
Title of the Manuscript:	Applications of Body Imaging Techniques in Clinical Practice and Biomedical Research: A Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript, detailing the mechanism of diagnosis of different body composition with limited engineered methodology with ease understanding and bridging to current clinical practice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title found relevant to the content of manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Found satisfied and in the place of Objective and method in abstract noted as "medical research". However, title and few other pages in manuscript noted as "Biomedical research"  It would be good if specific instead of broad area as this manuscript review in "biomedical research"  Typographical error in the page no.3 to be corrected as "macronutrients" instead of "rnacronutrient"	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript scientifically robust as it is detailing about the mechanism of imaging approaches in current clinical practise.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Reference found sufficient for the manuscript.	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Found suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saravanan. S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, India</b>