

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2517
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical study of the vibrations of beams with variable stiffness under impulsive or harmonic loading
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript covers a variety of support conditions with constant and varying stiffness</p> <p>The set of equations should be clearly explained not in a running text and explain in step by step way</p> <p>The presentation of the analytical expressions should preferably be in a step by step method. The reader should not guess at any step in the back of the mind</p> <p>There are spell mistakes at different places and grammar mistakes as well. I have tried to mark them wherever possible</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, this article is focussed on the beams having varying stiffness.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Is found to be small</p> <p>Close the right brace</p> <p>Deserve particular attention (verb plural is without s)</p> <p>Require the implementation of</p> <p>Techniques used to solve differential equations</p> <p>In the following we will consider</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>2.1 The equations should be one equation in each line. The equations are given as the running text does not appeal to read.</p> <p>If node 1 is taken as the beginning</p> <p>The explanation to the equations wherever required should be given in detail and not in the present version</p> <p>The iterative process converge whether</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	2.3 title reword	

[Review Form 2](#)

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Reference number 27 Left incomplete</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are spelling mistakes which needs to be corrected</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>The formulation is briefly discussed. It should be clearly given and a step by step fashion should be adopted.</p> <p>The analytical formulation should be clearly discussed. The meaning of each alphabet used and the notations with the list of symbols used should be given.</p> <p>The author(s) if they can give a program file which can be checked to verify the formulation.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>KNV Chandrasekhar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Mahaveer Institute of Science and Technology, India</p>