

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2519
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Saliva and Urine Performance for Antimalarial Drug Resistance Molecular Markers Study
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is apt, as it will serve as an eye opener for using other biological sample in establishing presence or absence of malaria parasite not always relying on blood. The paper was well constructed with detailed methodology and discussion	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is ok, with only few modification as on the reviewed version of the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was scientifically sound and robust. This because very relevant and reliable equipment/reagent/apparatus were use to analyse the data smples collected, and the sofweres used to analysed all the data generated were very relevant	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES VERY SUFFICIENT	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES VRY SUITABLE FOR SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATION	
Optional/Generalcomments		

Review Form 2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Muhammad
Department, University & Country	Gombe State University, Nigeria