

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2520
Title of the Manuscript:	Colorectal fistula after renal tumour cryotherapy
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Tumour ablation for renal cell cancer is still an underreported subject in the literature, with a paucity of studies. In line with it, complications from these procedures are not frequently reported, making difficult to properly counsel patients on the use of this techniques. The authors describe a rare complication of their own experience, contributing to the interest in their report.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, but I suggest to include the study design, which would make the title more accurate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and covers the most important points the authors discussed in the manuscript. However, I suggest the sentence in the conclusion "The patient recovered from surgery and was discharged with no complications" should move to the end of the presentation of case, and a clear and concise summary of the manuscript should replace it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript has a proper structure, with subsections well defined.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Generally well written, with clear English, and structured writing. Although, a case report of a single patient, the study adds new information, and interesting as the valuable experience of a rare complication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are appropriate in line with the correct discussion of the authors, in a subject with still a paucity of description in the literature.	

Review Form2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is appropriate, however I suggest the following changes to improve the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Abstract, line 1 of the discussion: Please specify which procedure are you referring to.2) Line 7 of the introduction: Specify what the acronym CT refers to, which in fact is described in line 6 of the presentation of case.3) Page 3, line 11: On the opposite, the acronym MRI had already been used in the manuscript before and, so, no need to repeat magnetic resonance imaging.4) Page 2, line 7: Please expand the topic of "failure of medical treatment", and specify what kind of treatment was initiated in this patient.5) 5) Page 3, line 1: Incomprehensible sentence "Owing to the proximity of the cryoablation site to the kidney, intestinal injury is a known complication..."	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Ricardo Gonçalves Correia
Department, University & Country	Instituto Português de Oncologia do Porto, Portugal