

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2520
Title of the Manuscript:	Colorectal fistula after renal tumour cryotherapy
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a very important topic related to Colorectal fistula after cryotherapy of renal tumor. It is considered an important study that presents the clinical case, the exams performed, with very clear images for better understanding, clearly expressing the surgical procedure performed. In addition, it presents cryoablation of renal tumors as a safe, low-risk technique with few complications. Thus, the importance of the publication to highlight the knowledge for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. I believe the title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I consider the summary of the manuscript understandable, I consider that it should present the objectives of the study and the method adopted.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. I confirm that the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and easy for readers to understand.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study was conducted based on a surgical case report, with important data presented in a clear and objective manner. The results and discussion of the study are presented in an organized and informative manner with images and substantiated data from the case under study. The summary presented reports the case and conclusion of the case, which I consider to be an improvement, adding the objective of the study and the method adopted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I assess the references as sufficient and recent.	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES. I consider the quality of the article's language/English to be suitable for academic communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Nauside Pessoa Da Silva
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitario Mauricio De Nassau Teresina Sul, Brasil