

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2521
Title of the Manuscript:	Total Colectomy with an Ileorectal Anastomosis: Perforation of Distal Portion of the Terminal Ileum
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a rare and significant complication associated with total colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis, which is a commonly performed surgical procedure. By documenting a case of intestinal perforation in the terminal ileum distal to the anastomosis, the manuscript contributes valuable insights into a potential but underreported postoperative risk. The findings can prompt surgeons to consider modifications in their surgical technique to avoid such complications, thereby enhancing patient safety. I appreciate the manuscript for highlighting this rare issue, which could lead to improved outcomes in similar cases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is mostly suitable but could be more concise and directly reflective of the rare complication discussed. A suggested alternative could be: "Complications of Total Colectomy with Ileorectal Anastomosis: Case Study of Terminal Ileum Perforation."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the case and the procedure, but it could benefit from the addition of a brief mention of the key clinical takeaway or recommendation. This would help in emphasizing the clinical significance of the findings. Otherwise, the abstract is well-structured and covers the essential aspects of the case.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate for a case report. The introduction, case report, and discussion sections are well-organized, making the content easy to follow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It accurately describes the surgical procedure, the complication encountered, and the subsequent management. The discussion is well-informed and supported by relevant literature, though it would benefit from a more in-depth exploration of the pathophysiology behind the complication. The inclusion of clinical guidelines or protocols to prevent similar complications could strengthen the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are sufficient and mostly recent, covering key studies and reports relevant to the procedure and the complication. However, including a more recent review or guideline on the surgical management of complications related to total colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis could further enhance the manuscript's relevance.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication, though minor grammatical improvements could enhance readability. 2. Consider revising the discussion section to provide more detail on the prevention of this rare complication, which would add value to the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salman Zahir
Department, University & Country	Northwest General Hospital and Research Centre, Pakistan