

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<u>The foundations of coordination chemistry</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2523
Title of the Manuscript:	The foundations of coordination chemistry
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important from the realms of understanding the concepts behind coordination chemistry. In the current context of development of inorganic materials of industrial importance the significance of understanding and applications of coordination chemistry is manifold. The basic fundamental concepts and the vast probability of its applications are important for the readers. The current manuscript though delves into this coveted field but significant stress are to be given on the application verticals with more examples. The basic concepts explained here are average with lacking illustrations in many instances. This requires to be more comprehensively done in order to compete with the already existing literature. The manuscript would be more appealing if pinpointed applications are cited with proper illustrative examples.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is general though a more directed title e.g. "Fundamentals and Applications of Coordination Chemistry" or equivalent might be used.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes its appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript needs to be corrected for proper scientific terminologies and representations. The manuscript lacks the mapping of references with the text. The scientific content is basic with inconsistent representations in certain instances which needs to be rigorously checked and corrected before publication. The approach is acceptable to enlighten the readers about the fundamentals of coordination chemistry since its inception but it lacks the illustrations to attract promising readers of this domain. The different theories pertaining to the concept are well explained but more elaborate examples and exercises would make it more attractive to the learners.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient. Additional references are required.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes its acceptable. The manuscript would require a thorough spellcheck to ensure the accuracy.	
Optional/General comments	The page numbers are missing. Diagrams require better resolution before publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debosreeta Bose
Department, University & Country	Amity Institute of Applied Sciences, Amity University, India