

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Disease and Health Research: New Insights</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2652
Title of the Manuscript:	Mpox (Monkeypox): The Current State of the Global Health Crisis
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find the manuscript to be important for the scientific community as it describes the comprehensive approach in presenting the, monkey pox virus- its epidemiology, symptoms, prevention and cure. Since the orthopox virus has led to the massive outbreak of the disease, the manuscript provides information regarding the symptoms and the treatment. The manuscript is clear and make significant contribution in the field of virology and public health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Possible treatment of the disease could have been mentioned.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review paper reflects robust and technically sound assessment of mpox literature. As the author has given detailed analysis of epidemiological data, causes, sign symptoms, diagnosis ,treatment and prevention of the disease from the diverse sources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient and recent. But some references are not properly cited like 82,83 and 84. Check all the references thoroughly.	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Statistical data could be in the form of bar graph or pie chart. Countries affected by the m pox virus could have been included in the graphs/chart form.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vikash Jakhmola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uttaranchal Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Uttaranchal University, India</b>