

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Disease and Health Research: New Insights</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2653
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Tobacco Cessation Program (TCP) for Dental undergraduates in their curriculum -The need and suggested plan
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find this manuscript compelling due to its rigorous methodology and well-structured arguments, which provide strong evidence supporting its conclusions. The authors also successfully connect their findings to broader implications, making it a significant addition to the literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate; however, the following modification is suggested: <b>A Proposed Framework for Curriculum Implementation: Tobacco Cessation in Dental Education</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive however, here are some suggestions for improvement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tobacco addiction is responsible for premature death and is an important cause of preventable death in the world. <b>There is enough evidence to substantiate that tobacco use leads to a high risk of tobacco addiction-related diseases thus causing vast amounts of morbidity and mortality in the human population</b></li> <li>The Clinical Practice Guidelines for Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence 2008 (<b>this is too old please check for an update</b>) Update <b>states</b> that the clinician is responsible for providing a brief tobacco cessation intervention to all tobacco-using patients.</li> <li>To introduce and implement any new program within the curriculum, there has <b>be (been)</b> a standard protocol followed</li> <li><b>Therefore, (Here)</b>, this article suggests the use of Kern's six steps/rules for implementation of Tobacco Cessation Program (TCP) within the dental curriculum</li> <li>Keywords: Please order keywords <b>alphabetically</b></li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>References are too old</li> </ul> <p>Materials and method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Its preferred that methodology section be written in the past, not in the future tense as will be.</li> <li>There are many grammatical errors within the methodology highlighted in the Word file, Please make sure to check them.</li> <li>Some paragraphs are too lengthy through out the methodology sections.</li> <li>The objectives are clear while, the research question need to be clearly stated.</li> </ul> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>This sentence is repeated more than two times please paraphrase. There is enough evidence to substantiate that tobacco use leads to a high risk of tobacco addiction-related diseases thus causing vast amount of morbidity and mortality of human population.</b></li> </ul>	

[Review Form2](#)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Paragraphs are too lengthy.</li> <li>• The discussion section should include additional references to substantiate the claims made, thereby enhancing the overall argument and contribution to the field of curriculum development.</li> </ul> <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear</li> </ul>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technically sound however it needs to be rewritten in more scientifically way considering grammatical correction as well as paraphrasing of repeated sentences with updating of references to suit being published in 2023 or 2024	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are too old, <b>needs to be updated</b> as 1990, 1992, 2003, 2005, 2009, 2010, 2011.....	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language needs a revision	
Optional/General comments	(words in red are suggested modifications by the reviewer) while <b>highlight in yellow need verification and modifications from the author</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Doaa Abdelnaby Abdelwahab Abdelfatah
Department, University & Country	Damanhour University, Egypt