

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2728
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Structure of a Class of Galois Ring Module Idealization
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The article thoroughly explores the structure of a specific class of Galois ring module idealizations.</li> <li>Definitions and theorems are presented clearly. The proofs are thorough and demonstrate a deep understanding of the subject matter.</li> <li>The writing is clear and concise, making complex ideas accessible to readers with a solid mathematical background.</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>It is well-written</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript "On the Structure of a Class of Galois Ring Module Idealization" demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous approach to mathematical proofs and well-defined methodologies.</li> <li>The authors meticulously build on established theories in Galois rings and module theory, ensuring that clear, logical arguments support all claims.</li> <li>Additionally, the thoroughness of the literature review contextualizes the study within the existing body of knowledge, highlighting its relevance and novelty.</li> <li>The results are mathematically sound and offer valuable insights that could lead to further exploration and application in related fields, indicating a strong technical foundation throughout the research.</li> </ul>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes, they are sufficient.</b>	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The authors use appropriate technical terminology and maintain a formal tone throughout the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	With some inclusion of illustrative examples, the paper would be even stronger.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S Kalaiselvi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University College of Engineering, India</b>