

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2766
Title of the Manuscript:	The Current status of Open inguinal hernia repair in Adults: Narrative Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Comment Although the article is well-formulated, the surgical options presented are somewhat reductive compared to existing surgical techniques and the current state of the art. It is crucial to include techniques that place the prosthesis partially or completely pre-peritoneally via the anterior approach. Only by doing so can a thorough literature review on the topic of open inguinal hernia repair be conducted. Additionally, it is important to note that the techniques mentioned in the article only address direct and indirect inguinal hernias, excluding femoral hernias, whereas preperitoneal solutions are capable of repairing all types of defects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augusto Lourenco
Department, University & Country	Beira Interior University, Portugal