

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Business, Management and Economics - Research Progress</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2098
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTION AND MARKETING IN RAPESEED-MUSTARD CROP IN BASTAR PLATEAU OF CHHATTISGARH, INDIA
Type of the Article	Book Capter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Economic Analysis on Production and Marketing is itself an ineluctable topic, which is to determine the productivity of rapeseed, the economically benefited crop of India. Also, market behaviour of input-output system would be known. Further, improvement in economic production of this crop is highly crucial for any scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title of the article is obviously suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the author has done a good summary in abstract written in order and synchronically. However, nuance change would be best. For example, the constraints parts is possible to make precise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Regarding the scientific correctness, I personally found it robust because of well analysis of each data provided in the manuscript. Also, the index of Constraint is mentioned as per the farmers problem, means it is not corrected according to the the data in strata. Reference and citation are properly added. However, we can add some. also, Conclusion is analyzed properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are sufficient. Though adding the good references, I do suggest Thapa, T. L., Bhattarai, C., Khatri, B., and Bhusal, K., 2018. Supply Chain Analysis of Rice Sub-Sector in Dang District, Nepal, Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol., vol. 6, no. 4, Pp. 319–326, 2018,doi: 10.3126/ijasbt.v6i4.22109	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language are correctly and coherently suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest to add 1 more reference related to marketing in the manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anu Panthy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal</b>