

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1482
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF SNOWBALL TECHNIQUE IN TEACHING PATHOLOGY TO SECOND YEAR MBBS STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	YES. It is	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Almost <b>EFFECTIVENESS OF SNOWBALL TECHNIQUE ON PATHOLOGY LEARNING OUTCOMES OF SECOND YEAR MBBS STUDENTS.</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	NO.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	NO. The flow is quite weak and sometimes statements are repeated verbatim. Author should pass the article through Grammarly or any other language checker for comprehensive language review.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	No author was cited within the article. Some sections of the article such as Materials and Methods need to be revisited to ensure a robust report. The background is weak hence the weak discussion too. More related and recent literature needs to be reviewed to improve the value of the report. The design of the study as quasi experimental is a weighty one and should not be trivialised by an incomprehensive report.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	NO. The number of authors referenced should be increased, cited within the article and well referenced in the appropriate section. Apart for figures, all text should appear in black color except otherwise stated on the template for article submission.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruth F. Lawal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal College of Education, Nigeria</b>