

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1482</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>TITLE-EFFECTIVENESS OF SNOWBALL TECHNIQUE IN TEACHING PATHOLOGY TO SECOND MBBS STUDENTS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</b>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the paper provides the alternative ways to teach students (Snowball technique)	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The article is concise and clear, however there are few instance of grammatical error, for example, under Materials and Method section, sub topic- sample size, "one hundreded and fifty students were be included in the study", it can written as one hundreded and fifty students participated in the study.  Likewise, there are few other typing mistakes and spelling mistakes which hinder the overall clarity of the research paper (please see my comments in the manuscript). Even figure 4 has spelling error, the image need to be regenerated. I would suggest authors to revisit them.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	All the sections are brief and well written, However, introduction section can be improved by explaining more about what is snowball technique and why authors decided to do that.  Likewise, the reference section can be improved because most of the reference given are unpublished thesis, the authors needs to read some published articles reagarding the effectiveness of snowball method ad cite them in the reference.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Overall, concise and clear writeup. Just wondering why authors used Wilcoxon signed ranks test instead of T-test? Was the data non parametic?	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yonten Chopel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Royal University of Bhutan, Bhutan</b>