

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2641
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Review of the Cardio-Protective Potential of Diets and Foods Rich in Soluble Fibre
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is ok, the importance of the manuscript to the scientific community lies in its novel research because it adds new findings to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, (Water-soluble dietary fibers and cardiovascular disease: A comprehensive review)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, But some grammatical mistakes were noted & need correction.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate, But add dietary fibre classification after introduction.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author/s in this manuscript not follow the guidelines properly. Most of the paragraph not cited. Reference need to be numbered consecutively as their first appear in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but Authors strictly follow Guideline (on the website) for exact journal style of the references and correct accordingly. Also, the style of the references in the list needs to be corrected.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is well / English need to be carefully checked again	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaikh Habeeba Sufiyan
Department, University & Country	Matoshri Institute of Pharmacy, India