

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<u>Unveiling the Hidden Costs: Part-1: Introduction</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2676
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Hidden Costs: Part-1: Introduction
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to be rewritten, too elaborated section	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has written in a good flow, as a review article all the statements given need referencing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes sufficient referencing has been provided	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract need to be rewritten, too elaborated section.2. Many statements in the introduction section missing with the references.3. Grammatical errors observed all over the article.4. As a review article every statement provided need referencing.5. Page 7 and all over the article, (below papers) statements needs to be changed to Articles.6. Et al should be in italics.7. Check the NAD+ Metabolism section, needs to be in superscript.8. What is academic papers, the stamnett is not clear, change to the journals.9. The Pradox of cancer metabolism section need referencing. 2.2 The impact on body title shows no information, mention on what basis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunasekar Manoharan
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA