

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<u>Unveiling the Hidden Costs: Part-1: Introduction</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2676
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Hidden Costs: Part-1: Introduction
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the work is appreciable for the scientific community. Because this manuscript belives on the scientific work and collection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No need to change the title, suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Write down the comprehensive abstract on the top of the manuscript asap.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subdivision of the manuscript is good and sufficients.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It explores the intricate mechanisms behind cancer cell growth, energy dynamics, and disease spread. It blends scientific insight with personal stories and practical strategies, making it accessible to both experts and curious readers. The book aims to inspire a deeper understanding of cancer while offering new perspectives on potential treatments. Through its compelling narrative, it seeks to change how we approach and combat the disease.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference formatting not suitable, but the sufficient as per the content.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is good no need to improve.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good as per the scientific work, need to format the reference and adding abstract.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Pal
Department, University & Country	ISF College of Pharmacy, India