

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2716
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Feedback Timing and Learning Styles on Learning Outcomes in Distance E-Learning
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of distance education (e-learning) systems is crucial for this mode of instructional delivery. The manuscript provides a detailed account of the literature on feedback mechanisms. The quantitative methods used in the study align perfectly well with the study design and focus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title focuses the study very well and aligns itself with the 3 study aims/objectives	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Very.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study sample of 67 students enrolled in the distance learning program, divided into four experimental groups was appropriately documented coupled with the use of suitable (tried & tested) instruments, the Felder-Silverman Learning Styles Model scale to categorize students' learning styles. Correct inferential statistics were used as measures of feedback timing and learning style.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Excellent.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very good.	
Optional/General comments	This work is impressive.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Mutseekwa
Department, University & Country	Rwanda