

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2802
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Impact of Foreign Remittances on the Growth of the Ghanaian Economy: An Assessment of the Mediating Role of the Technology</b>
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is okay It reveals the situation of remittances to the Ghana economic growth, however not in details Hypotheses to make the study robust were not generated	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is okay but it should do away with the article 'the' and be reframed. 'IMPACT OF FOREIGN REMITTANCES ON GHANAIANS ECONOMIC GROWTH: AN ASSESSMENT OF MEDIATING ROLE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY.'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, core hard data from the findings should be specified in the abstract, and research design and theoretical framework adopted should be briefly mentioned	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has majority of components of method of writing research work Too much of repetitions and tautologies. The analysis is in line with the mode employed But the discussion of the findings is too shallow, not inductive enough to explain the remittances situation of Ghana economy as being intermarried to the role of ICT.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<u>The idea of using numerical figure to represent the author's name and year is synonymous to arts and humanities but find it difficult to relate with it in social sciences</u>	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes but in some cases, America English language were being used. Needs to be corrected	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The references method of using numerical figure to represent the references is not okay. The title should do away with the article 'the' and be reframed. There are clarifications to be worked on and speculations to be specified. Some editing needed while United kingdom English language should be sticked too.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lewis, E. O. Abejide</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria</b>